

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/752,125	12/29/2000	Luke A. Johnson	INTL-0513-US (P10388) 8725	
7	590 04/25/2005		EXAMINER	
Timothy N. Trop TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.			TRAN, KHAI	
STE 100	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
8554 KATY FWY HOUSTON, TX 77024-1805			2637	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before	the Filing o	f an Appea	l Brief			

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/752,125	JOHNSON, LUKE A.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
KHAI TRAN	2637	

3 ,,	LAGITITIE	Atomic			
	KHAI TRAN	2637			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>31 March 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the followances the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	706.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	ctension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig for than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) a		
NOTICE OF APPEAL	-11	61			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfliing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
AMENDMENTS	to a color to the data of filling a boile				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause		
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)  (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be		ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		timely filed emendant	ant concoling the		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objected to: <u>7,14-16,18,20,26-28</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,8-13,17-19 and 21-25</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected. <u>1-0,0-13,11-19 and 21-23.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			h		
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered b See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	NO(S)			
13. Other:  COLOTRAN  PROMARY EXAMPLE 4/21/05  KHAI TRAN  Primary Examiner  Art Unit: 2637					
	FORM THAN	KHAI TRAN			
. P	HIRRAITY EXAMINER 4/21/05	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2637			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Nishimura discloses a storage device as shown in Figure 3, for storing data; a bolck for adjusting the position of the data in the storage device (figures 4 and 5 show a shift register 400 fo shifting the position of the data in the storage device.